

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/533,816	SUOMALAINEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Satyendra K. Singh	1657	

All Participants:

(1) Satyendra K. Singh.

(2) Mr. Gray R. Tanigawa (attorney of record).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 30 October 2006

Time: 4.05 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
of the record

Claims discussed:
claim 9 in particular

Prior art documents discussed:
of the record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative was presented with the amended claim 9 (orally) in order for consideration of its allowable subject matter. The attorney of record Mr. Gray R. Tanigawa agreed to the amendments in claim 9 as proposed in the examiner's amendment.